Fuzzy Logic Recommended Student Learning Levels

Abdul Rahman¹, Destiarini², Joko Kuswanto³

^{1,2,3} Informatika, Fakultas Teknik dan Komputer, Universitas Baturaja ¹ abdulrahman@ft.unbara.ac.id, ² destiarini1979@yahoo.co.id, ³ ko.8515@gmail.com

Abstract

In the process of admitting students, the English course uses a learning level placement test. At the application stage the test encountered problems such as the slow pace of determining student learning levels based on paperbased test results. The purpose of this study is to provide recommendations for the level of student learning using the Fuzzy method. Where the level of learning English is divided into categories Foundation, Basic, Elementary, Intermediate and Advance. The input values are Listening, Vocabulary, Structure and Reading. The results of the study were tested for the accuracy of the dataset with the confusion matrix method with an accuracy of 88%.

Keywords : student, learning levels, fuzzy logic, english course, confusion matrix

1. INTRODUCTION

English Language Courses and Training Institutions are held to meet the needs of the community in an effort to develop themselves in foreign language skills. In the registration process, there is a level placement test stage that determines a student's proficiency in English. In carrying out this activity, several problems were encountered, such as the slow pace of determining student learning levels based on paper-based test results, and the ineffective and inefficient process of determining student learning levels Sutrisno & Budiyanto (2019).

Formal education is generally taken up by academic channels in schools ranging from the level of children's education to higher education. The education process in schools makes students as educational subjects that are educated and taught classically by teachers or educators with the aim of developing the potential that exists in students Rahman, Mutiarawan, Darmawan, Rianto, & Syafrullah (2019).

This study applies the fuzzy method in providing accurate learning appropriate and level recommendations. In determining the level of student learning based on predetermined assessment criteria. Assessment can be defined as a systematic and continuous method for collecting, analyzing and using information from measured results to improve student learning in terms of knowledge acquired, understanding skills developed, and and competencies acquired Hameed (2017).

The rubric clearly states the educational objectives of the course / program / assignment and how the course learning objectives / criteria are met, to achieve the expected standards. Rubrics are designed and used to determine the value or level of achievement of the learning standards achieved by

students. Bloom's taxonomy level is defined as the criterion for evaluating student performance Rao, Mangalwede, & Deshmukh (2018).

The cognitive domain associated with the development of intellectual skills starts with the simplest level, remember, understand, then intermediate level analysis and application, finally the top level evaluates or makes. The affective domain deals with human emotions such as feelings, enthusiasm, attitudes, motivation, etc. From the simplest acceptance, responding to phenomena to judging, regulating values and finally internalizing values. Basically, the psychomotor domain deals with the use of motor skills such as physical movements and their coordination. These skills can be developed with practice and range from manual tasks such as writing on a blackboard to more complex tasks such as driving a car or dancing Rao et al. (2018).

We propose an evaluation model based on Fuzzy logic which is robust and also provides flexibility in the evaluation process. Professor L. A. Zadeh discovered the concept of Fuzzy Logic in 1964. He presented his first paper on fuzzy sets in 1965]. In 1974, Mamdani developed the first fuzzy logic controller used in predicting results when data is incorrect, unclear or some data is missing. Fuzzy controllers are widely used in weather forecasting, stock market, product market, health monitoring systems, aviation systems, temperature and pressure controllers in manufacturing industries etc. It is rulebased and reliable [4]. In this study, the learning level consists of five categories, namely Foundation, Basic, Elementary, Intermediate and Advance. To recommend the level of student learning using the fuzzy logic method.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Assessment has the potential not only to measure and report on learning but also to promote it. Student assessment is an integral part of the learning experience and curriculum design. It is usually used to evaluate learning outcomes and provide the basis for individual student certification. Conventional scoring systems are based largely on human judgment, which tend to be subjective and have high rates of error and uncertainty. Because of the traditional stresses in assessments of objectivity, appropriateness, consistency and certainty and because of the trend of increasing class sizes and limited resources for teaching, examiners and lectures have always challenged their ability to provide timely and fair assessments. In order to overcome the aforementioned challenges, a need arises to explore innovation and technology to facilitate assessment and to include other dimensions, which cannot be considered in conventional assessment systems, to ensure and promote deep learning and critical thinking. In this paper presented a fuzzy scoring system, which takes into account the complexity, difficulty and importance of the exam questions, is presented. A simplified implementation of the type-2 interval fuzzy system using basic knowledge of the type-1 fuzzy is presented. A comparison between the use of a type-1 fuzzy system and a type-2 interval in reducing uncertainty and providing a more transparent and fair assessment that reflect individual student needs and can developmental progress is presented Hameed (2016).

A new method how to evaluate and assess optical network links and finally, to find the optimal path. To test and prove the assumptions introduced in the article, data transport theory is combined and implemented into a simulation model. The simulation model demonstrates a new approach, optimizes existing optical links, and calculates the optimal path for transferring data. In order to process clear input parameter relationships, fuzzy logic is used to assess each link quality and utilization. To provide a comprehensive evaluation, several parameters are taken into account Cibira & Dulik (2016).

Student performance evaluation using the Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) for the Network Analysis (NA) course is studied by the third semester of Electronics and Communication Engineering students. This paper describes the importance of Bloom's level in learning and developing critical thinking skills for NA courses and designs a scoring rubric by aligning the rubric's criteria with Bloom's taxonomy level that interns are given as input to the FIS. The five inputs that identify, understand, apply, analyze, and design / create are obscured using the Mamdani Fuzzy Inference System. With the help of fuzzy rules, the predicted results are expressed in terms of linguistic variables Rao et al. (2018).

Academic advice to students is a task that requires a lot of time, expertise and intellectual investment from academic experts. To help students find suitable decisions in a short period of time, this project implements a Smart Algorithm to design applications on smart phones. The proposed system is implemented and tested for validation with real data collected from valid students. The experimental results show that a system has a mean square root error of 6.64% and can thus be used successfully to identify the likelihood that enrolling for a course is the correct decision Aly, Eskaf, & Selim (2017).

The absence of a computerized decision support system in determining the extension of the employee contract at PT. Graha Prima Perkasa so that the company experiences problems in determining the contract extension every period, to solve this problem a decision support system is created that uses the fuzzy logic of the mamdani method, fuzzy logic is one of the sciences that can analyze uncertainty, in this study using the fuzzy logic of the mamdani method. To get the output from this method, 4 stages are needed, namely; The formation of fuzzy sets, application of implication functions, composition of rules, defuzzification and the criteria used are attendance, achievement, discipline, and field implementation using dau or three linguistic values on each variable from the results of the research that has been done. The mamdani method can be used as a recommendation for the extension of the employee's work contract Efendi (2019).

3. RESEARCH METHODS

In this study, using data obtained from an English course agency. Existing data are used to determine the level of student learning according to the values obtained using the Fuzzy Logic method.

Fuzzy Set Formation

The determination of variables and the formation of fuzzy sets for each variable used are Listening, Vocabulary, Structure, and Reading. The fuzzy sets formed from each of these variables are as follows: 1. Listening Variables

The set of variables can be seen in Table 1.

Linguistic Value	Value Range
Low	0-7
Medium	5-10
Average	8-14
High	12-16

LISTENING FUZZY SET

The curve that is formed to determine the membership function of the foundation variables can be seen in Figure 1.



curve

2. Vocabulary Variables

The set of variables can be seen in Table II.

VOCABULARY VARIABLE FUZZY SET

Linguistic Value	Value Range
Low	0-10
Medium	7-15
Average	12-21
High	19-24

The curve that is formed to determine the membership function of the vocabulary variable can be seen in Figure 2.



Fig 2 Curve of the vocabulary variable membership function

3. Variable Structure For the set of variables can be seen in Table 3.

Linguistic Value	Value Range
Low	0-10
Medium	8-17
Average	14-24
High	22-28

SET FUZZY VARIABLE STRUCTURE

The curve that is formed to determine the membership function in the structure variable can be seen in Figure 3.



Fig 3 Curve membership function for structure variable

4. Variable Reading

For the set of variables can be seen in Table 4.

Linguistic Value	Value Range
Low	0-12
Medium	9-19
Average	16-26
High	23-32

The curve that is formed to determine the membership function in the reading variable can be seen in Figure 4.



Fig 4 Reading variable membership function curve

5. Output Variables

For the set of output variables can be seen in Table 5.

Linguistic Value	Value Range
Foundation	0-27
Basic	27-50
Elementary	50-70
Intermediate	70-90
Advance	90-100

FUZZY SET OF OUTPUT VARIABLES

The curve that is formed to determine the membership function in the output variable can be seen in Figure 5.



Fig 5 Output variable membership function curve

For each membership function curve, the equation for the membership function for each variable is obtained as follows :

1. The equation for the membership function of the listening variable

$$\mu_{Low} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{7 - x}{7 - 0}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$
(1)
$$\mu_{Medium} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{10 - x}{10 - 5}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$
(2)
$$\mu_{Average} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{14 - x}{14 - 8}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$
(3)
$$\mu_{High} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{16 - x}{16 - 12}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$
(4)

2. The equation for the membership function of the vocabulary variable

$$\mu_{Low} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{10 - x}{10 - 0}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{Medium} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{15 - x}{15 - 7}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{Average} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{21 - x}{21 - 12}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{High} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{24 - x}{24 - 19}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

3. The equation for the membership function of the structure variable

4. The equation for the membership function of the reading variable

$$\mu_{Low} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{12 - x}{12 - 0}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{Medium} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{19 - x}{19 - 9}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{Average} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{26 - x}{26 - 16}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{High} = \begin{cases} 1, & b \le x \le c \\ \frac{32 - x}{32 - 23}, & c \le x \le d \\ 0, & d \le x \end{cases}$$

$$(16)$$

5. The equation for the membership function of the output variable



Fuzzy Rules

In fuzzy logic, a rule is needed to determine the rules that will be used to calculate the suitability of the results with the fuzzy mamdani method, where the min-max rule applies to this fuzzy method. In this study, the application uses the min rule which means looking for the lowest value in each rule. The fuzzy rules with 36 rules are shown in Table 6.

FUZZY RULES

Rule	Listening	Vocabulary	Structure	Reading	Output
1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Foundation
2	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Foundation
3	Low	Low	Low	Average	Foundation
4	Low	Low	Low	High	Foundation
5	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Foundation
6	Low	Low	Average	Low	Foundation
7	Low	Low	High	Low	Foundation
8	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Foundation
9	Low	Average	Low	Low	Foundation
10	Low	High	Low	Low	Foundation
11	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Foundation
12	Average	Low	Low	Low	Foundation
13	High	Low	Low	Low	Foundation
14	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	Basic
15	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Basic
16	Medium	Medium	Medium	Average	Basic
17	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	Basic
18	Medium	Medium	Average	Low	Basic
19	Medium	Medium	High	Medium	Basic
20	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Basic
21	Average	Medium	Medium	Medium	Basic
22	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Basic
23	Medium	Average	Medium	Medium	Basic
24	Average	Average	Average	Average	Elementary
25	Average	Average	Average	High	Elementary
26	Average	Average	High	Average	Elementary
27	Average	High	Average	Average	Elementary
28	High	Average	Average	Average	Elementary
29	High	Average	Average	High	Intermediate
30	Average	High	High	Average	Intermediate
31	Average	Average	High	High	Intermediate
32	High	High	Average	High	Intermediate
33	Low	High	High	High	Elementary
34	High	Low	Low	High	Basic
35	High	High	High	Average	Advance
36	High	High	High	High	Advance

The process in the matlab application is formulated in Figure 6.



Fig 6 Implementation in the matlab application

Composition of Fuzzy Rules and Defuzzification

In this research, the fuzzy set solution is obtained by taking the maximum value in the rule rules, then using it to modify the fuzzy area, and applying it to the output.

The defuzzy method to be used is the centroid method. To find the defuzification value, first the moment for each region is calculated. Next, we calculate the area (A) for each region.

The defuzzy method to be used is the centroid method. The results of the calculation of the input listening = 0, Vocabulary = 0, Structure = 20, and Reading = 20 using Matlab is 50 and is included in the BASIC class category. For more details, it can be seen in Figure 7.



Fig 7 The results of defuzzyfication calculations using Matlab

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, simulating fuzzy calculations using matlab and provided a dataset of student test results that have been carried out at the English course institute. The results of this study will be tested for data accuracy using a Confusion matrix.

Dataset of student exam results

The following are the results of the dataset that have been simulated using Matlab to recommend a suitable learning level for students according to the test results in table 7, 1 (Listening), 2 (Vocabulary), 3 (Structure), 4 (Reading).

	DA	IASLI	01 510		EXAM RESULTS
No	1	2	3	4	Learning Level
1	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
2	8	16	22	24	BASIC
3	0	12	20	20	BASIC
4	8	15	28	24	BASIC
5	16	2	22	24	BASIC
6	8	17	22	24	BASIC
7	16	13	28	24	INTERMEDIATE
8	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
9	11	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
10	8	20	22	24	ELEMENTARY
11	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
12	8	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
13	0	12	20	20	BASIC
14	5	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
15	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
16	8	17	22	24	ELEMENTARY
17	16	13	28	24	INTERMEDIATE
18	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
19	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
20	4	20	22	24	BASIC

DATASET OF STUDENT EXAM RESULTS

No	1	2	3	4	Learning Level
21	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
22	8	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
23	0	12	20	20	BASIC
24	4	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
25	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
26	7	17	22	24	ELEMENTARY
27	16	13	28	24	INTERMEDIATE
28	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
29	11	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
30	8	20	22	24	ELEMENTARY
31	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
32	8	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
33	0	12	20	20	BASIC
34	8	15	28	24	BASIC
35	16	9	22	24	BASIC
36	8	17	22	24	ELEMENTARY
37	16	13	28	24	INTERMEDIATE
38	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
39	11	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
40	8	20	22	24	ELEMENTARY
41	11	15	28	24	ELEMENTARY
42	8	20	22	24	ELEMENTARY
43	16	24	28	32	ADVANCE
44	8	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
45	0	12	20	20	BASIC
46	7	15	28	24	BASIC
47	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY
48	8	17	22	24	ELEMENTARY
49	16	13	28	24	INTERMEDIATE
50	16	16	22	24	ELEMENTARY

Data accuracy testing

Testing the results of the test is by using confusion matrix where from 50 students 10 samples are taken and made into a dataset, while 40 are used as training data with cross-validation 10 times, and the result is 88% declared accurate. For clarity in Figure 8.

Correctly Classified Instances		44		88					
Incorrectly Classified Instances		6		12	2				
Kappa statistic		0.8077		12	•				
Mean absolute error		0.0961							
Root mean squared error			0.2241						
Relative absolu			28.93						
Root relative		or	55,11						
Total Number of	Instances		50						
	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	TP Rate 1.000		Precision 1.000	Recall 1.000	F-Measure 1.000	MCC 1.000		PRC Area 1.000	Class ADVANCE
		0.000			1.000	1.000	1.000		ADVANCE
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000 0.700	1.000	1.000 0.779	1.000	ADVANCE BASIC
	1.000 0.538 1.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000	1.000 0.681 1.000	1.000 0.779	1.000	ADVANCE BASIC
Weighted Avg.	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.240	1.000 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.538 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.240	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
=== Confusion 1	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880 fatrix ===	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.240 0.120	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
a b c d	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880 fatrix ===	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.240 0.120	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
Confusion 1 a b c d 7 0 0 0	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880 datrix === < classi a = ADVAN	0.000 0.000 0.240 0.120	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
Confusion 1 a b c d 7 0 0 0 0 7 0 6	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880 datrix === < classi a = ADVAN b = BASIC	0.000 0.000 0.240 0.120 fied as	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT
Confusion 1 a b c d 7 0 0 0	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000 0.880 datrix === < classi a = ADVAN	0.000 0.000 0.240 0.120 fied as	1.000 1.000 1.000 0.806	1.000 0.538 1.000 1.000	1.000 0.700 1.000 0.893	1.000 0.681 1.000 0.783	1.000 0.779 1.000 0.830	1.000 0.702 1.000 0.743	ADVANCE BASIC INTERMEDIAT

Fig 8 The results of the student score dataset test with confusion matrix

5. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the research with Fuzzy Logic for Learning Level Recommendations after being tested for validation were able to recommend the level of learning English with data accuracy of 88%. Test the results of the recommendations using confusion atrix. For further research it is recommended to use a method other than fuzzy, other intelligent algorithms can be used.

REFERENCES

- Aly, W. M., Eskaf, K. A., & Selim, A. S. (2017). Fuzzy mobile expert system for academic advising. *Canadian Conference on Electrical* and Computer Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2017.7946846
- Cibira, G., & Dulik, M. (2016). Fuzzy logic routing within international academic networks. *ELEKTRO* 2016 - 11th International Conference, Proceedings, 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1109/ELEKTRO.2016.7512 031
- Efendi, D. M. (2019). Implementasi Logika Fuzzy Mamdani Pada Sistem Rekomendasi Perpanjangan Kontrak Kerja Karyawan.

JATISI (Jurnal Teknik Informatika Dan Sistem Informasi), 6(1), 106–115. https://doi.org/10.35957/jatisi.v6i1.169

- Hameed, I. A. (2016). A simplified implementation of interval type-2 fuzzy system and its application in students' academic evaluation. 2016 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, FUZZ-IEEE 2016, 650–656. https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2016.7737748
- Hameed, I. A. (2017). Enhanced fuzzy system for student's academic evaluation using linguistic hedges. *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2017.8015462
- Rahman, A., Mutiarawan, R. A., Darmawan, A., Rianto, Y., & Syafrullah, M. (2019). Prediction of students academic success using case based reasoning. *International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI)*, 171–176. https://doi.org/10.22010/EECS148112.2010.80

https://doi.org/10.23919/EECSI48112.2019.89 77104

- Rao, D. H., Mangalwede, S. R., & Deshmukh, V. B. (2018). Student performance evaluation model based on scoring rubric tool for network analysis subject using fuzzy logic. International Conference on Electrical, Communication Electronics. Computer Technologies and Optimization Techniques, ICEECCOT 2017, 2018-Janua, 857-861. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEECCOT.2017.8284 623
- Sutrisno, M., & Budiyanto, U. (2019). Intelligent System For Recommending Study Level in English Language Course Using CBR Method. International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI), 153–158.